WDPM Case Studies within the Land and Infrastructure Resiliency Assessment (LIRA) Framework



Robert Armstrong, Ph.D. Visiting Fellow (AAFC, S & T, NAIS, CDSA)

Introduction to LIRA

- Sub-component of AAFC funded Climate Adaption and Resiliency Assessment (CARA) project (Lead: Harvey Hill
- Goal: Assist in identifying vulnerabilities to flooding and explore mitigation strategies within economic assessment frameworks
- Key input: inventory of land uses and on-the-ground assets intersected by potential flood hazards
- Phase 3 LIRA : First pilot study at Corman Park, SK (2010)
 - − Key hydrologic / hydraulic modeling issues ☺

Phase 4 LIRA : Prairie Pilot Studies

- Wetland DEM Ponding Model <u>runoff algorithm introduced as</u> <u>a diagnostic research tool for Prairies studies</u> (fall 2010 - 2011)
 - Given current complexities of hydrological modeling and for simplicity: no consideration for infiltration, other water losses or antecedent conditions → DEM <u>snapshot</u> is the storage state condition
 - Key questions: Where might excess water flow across the landscape and accumulate? Which essential assets might be located in or near a flood hazard, or impacted by flooding elsewhere?
 - Key goal: identify potential runoff accumulation zones and backwater ponding areas; i.e. maximize *potential* flood hazard information at "hot spot" locations
 - Need to find reasonable way of associating potential flood hazard areas with the relative probability of such a flood event occurring??

Phase 4 LIRA: Refinement & Validation

Exploring methodology in different parts of the Country (2011 - 2013)

Nappan, Nova Scotia



Storm surge concerns Breaching of dykes Protection of valuable ag land Defined stream channels



Redberry Lake Watershed, SK

Lessons learned



Typical prairie basin





Assiniboine River

Watershed, SK



Application of WDPM for LIRA

- There are various ways to determine reference water depths!
 - 1. A simple "What If" scenario to directly address community concerns: e.g. a Vanguard flood event; 300 mm applied to an entire DEM
 - 2. Extreme value analysis: 1:100 year maximum 24 hr accumulated rainfall totals applied to DEMs \rightarrow not equivalent to the flood frequency return period!
 - 3. Probable maximum precipitation and IDF amounts adjusted for watershed areas; difficult for massive areas so not considered practical
 - 4. Physically-based hydrological modeling of prairie processes to determine distributed snow melt runoff depths; requires integration of Cold Region Hydrological Model (CRHM platform) analysis
- Options 1 and 2 were more practical: based on modeling complexities; given the time constraints; for a proof of concept for a new diagnostic approach





Radisson

Dashed black lines show "known" hydrography

Some ponds and lakes known; linkages...not so much!





"still-water" flood hazard exceed extent of actively flowing water hazard

Flood controls where used in the town



0 0.125 0.25 0.5 Km



Surface Run-off Model Validation

adisso

Are the runoff risk maps realistic? How useful is the information provided? Would this type of adaptation option prevented flood damages? Is it cost effective relative to any other options?







Assiniboine Watershed (~ 110 mm applied)

Overlay of WDPM output (blue) on 30 m SRTM DEM and FDRP flood hazard zone (red) for Yorkton Creek



Smith Creek (100 mm applied)



- SAR flood mask before main flooding (DUCs) in blue – SAR data not very accurate
- WDPM output in orange
- Dark grey = overlap
- Rapid eye imagery available after flooding (not shown)
- Smith Creek is highly drained and presents challenges
 - LiDAR already outdated at time of pilot study
- Drain algorithm not applied but might have been useful

Flood masks are another way to verify the relative accuracy of flood hazard depictions

Value of WDPM within LIRA

- Simple, relatively fast and spatially explicit iterative simulation
- In the short term, it has allowed for ad-hoc flood hazard mapping in hydrologically complex environments
- The diagnostic level assessment is reasonably accurate overall and surprisingly detailed when LiDAR is available
- Has provided useful <u>flood hazard information over entire</u> <u>landscapes</u> which has not been previously available or possible
- Future improvement?? → Hydrologic analysis that provides runoff depth estimates that can be applied to a DEM

Welcome to the Prairie Pothole hydrological / hydrodynamic modeling Graveyard

• User beware: All DEMs contain errors and have limitations... especially where the prairie pothole region is concerned!!

> REC-HMS and HEC-RAS are "advanced tools" recently laid to rest after tangling with Prairie Potholes!

DEM resolution cannot be "enhanced" beyond the scale of source input data without ancillary data.

Google earth

DEM Limitations and Issues

	DEM Dataset	Cell Resol. (m)	Standard Format	Vertical Acc. (m)	General Issues
	Lidar	5	real	± 0.3 – 0.5	Cost; Data volume; Editing drainage connectivity among road networks (e.g. at culvert locations)
	Ortho - DEM models	5, 20, 30	Integer OR real	± 1.5	Mathematical autocorrelation / interpolation issues; Subjectivity of ancillary data collection; Adequate capture of road networks
	CDED	30	Integer	Varies by source data and location	Contour artifacts and integer format values result in terraced- like landscapes
1999	SRTM	30 & 90	Real	± 12 – 16	Winter survey, backscatter (e.g. low relief, dense vegetation) & Grid coarseness
-0	ASTER	30	Integer	± 20	"Mole runs" & 30-50 m deep pits



DEM Comparison Study: La Salle River Basin, MB (8 m relief; 116 mm applied)



SGIC OthoPhoto Mapping Program

- DEMs used for orthorectifying air photos
- Photogrammetry is a science with inherent challenges!
- Reviewed several products for LIRA needs
- Submitted a brief but detailed report on technical problems and systematic errors of the previous versions
- Newest 5 m version has potential to be a viable product:
 - Reviewed 2 sample DEM tiles (March 10 11); all previous issues resolved
 - True 5 m spatial resolution: masspoints \rightarrow DEM grid
 - Wetland storage viable
 - But capturing road networks directly remains uncertain

Summary

- DEM development is a science!
- All DEMs have surface representation issues and their suitability for specific applications need to be verified
 - *the integer format creates contour artifacts that are particulary problematic in prairie pothole landscapes*
- Future SGIC 5 m Ortho-DEM product has potential value for a variety of applications; requires further validation where LiDAR exists