Review on Oscillatory Stability in Power Grids with Renewable Energy Sources: Monitoring, Analysis, and Control using Synchrophasor Technology

Lasantha Gunaruwan Meegahapola, Senior Member, IEEE, Siqi Bu, Senior Member, IEEE, D. Prasad Wadduwage, Member, IEEE, C. Y. Chung, Fellow, IEEE, and Xinghuo Yu, Fellow, IEEE

Abstract—Oscillatory stability has received immense attention in recent years due to the significant increase of power-electronic converter (PEC)-interfaced renewable energy sources. Synchrophasor technology offers superior capability to measure and monitor power systems in real time, and power system operators require better understanding of how it can be used to effectively analyze and control oscillations. This paper reviews state-of-the-art oscillatory stability monitoring, analysis, and control techniques reported in the published literature based on synchrophasor technology. An updated classification is presented for power system oscillations with a special emphasis on oscillations induced from PEC-interfaced renewable energy generation. Oscillatory stability analysis techniques based on synchrophasor technology are well established in power system engineering, but further research is required to effectively utilize synchrophasor based oscillatory stability monitoring, analysis and control techniques to characterize and mitigate PEC-induced oscillations. In particular, emerging big-data analytics techniques could be used on synchrophasor data streams to develop oscillatory stability monitoring, analysis and damping techniques.

Index Terms—Big-data analytics, oscillation analysis, oscillation damping, oscillatory stability, phasor measurement unit (PMU), renewable energy sources, smart-grids, synchrophasor.

Manuscript received August 17, 2019; revised November 25, 2019; accepted December 13, 2019. This work was supported in part by the Australian Research Council (ARC) under Grant DP170102303, in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant 51807171, and in part by the Hong Kong Research Grant Council under Grant 15200418.

L.G. Meegahapola, and X. Yu are with the Royal Melbourne Institute of Technology University, Melbourne, VIC, 3000, Australia (e-mail: lasantha.meegahapola@rmit.edu.au; x.yu@rmit.edu.au).

S. Bu is with the Department of Electrical Engineering, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Kowloon, Hong Kong (e-mail: siqi.bu@polyu.edu.hk).

D.P. Wadduwage is with the Department of Department of Electrical Engineering, University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka (e-mail: prasadwd@uom.lk).

C.Y. Chung is with the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon, SK S7N 5A9, Canada (e-mail: c.y.chung@usask.ca).

I. INTRODUCTION

THE power system landscape is evolving rapidly with the large-scale integration of power electronic converter (PEC)-interfaced renewable energy generators, PEC-interfaced loads and smart-grid technologies [1]-[2]. This ongoing transformation has a significant impact on power system operation, dynamics and stability, and new challenges are emerging for system operators to maintain a reliable and resilient power grid. Frequency regulation/ control, voltage control, oscillatory stability, and power quality (e.g., harmonics, flicker) are some of the issues pertinent to this evolving power grid [3].

Among these new challenges power system oscillatory stability issues have received increased attention over the past few years as more power electronic converter (PEC)-interfaced renewable energy generators (e.g., doubly-fed induction generators (DFIGs), permanent magnet synchronous generators (PMSGs)), and non-linear loads (e.g., variable-speed drives (VSDs), switch-mode power supplies, light-emitting diode (LED) drives) are connected to the power grid and reduce its damping performance [4]. This adversely influences power system oscillatory stability; hence, power system operators require an in-depth understanding of oscillation monitoring as well as analytical techniques to effectively control and manage the oscillatory stability issues. Moreover, oscillatory stability incidents have reported in power networks with significant PEC-interfaced renewable generation [5]. These incidents have also necessitated more advanced monitoring, analysis and control techniques to mitigate such incidents in future.

Synchrophasor technology has evolved rapidly during the last two decades to become the most reliable power system monitoring technology, superseding the conventional supervisory control and data acquisition (SCADA) systems. The synchrophasor technology is now being widely deployed in power networks for power system monitoring, measurement, and control [6]. High accuracy and high-speed data transfer are two key advantages of synchrophasor technology over systems conventional SCADA Synchrophasor [7]. measurement devices are commonly known as phasor measurement units (PMUs), and this technology has many applications [6] in the power systems industry. Thus, capability of synchrophasor technology can be harnessed to effectively

monitor, analyze and control oscillations induced by the PEC-interfaced renewables.

This paper critically reviews the state-of-the-art for power system oscillation monitoring, analysis, and control techniques based on synchrophasor technology, with special emphasis on emerging oscillatory stability issues from PEC-interfaced renewable generation. In addition, it highlights current trends and future research directions for oscillatory stability monitoring and control using synchrophasor technology.

II. A GENERAL OVERVIEW OF SYNCHROPHASOR TECHNOLOGY

Research studies associated with synchrophasor measurement systems can be broadly categorized into those addressing synchrophasor estimation devices and those concerned with synchrophasor applications in power systems as shown in Fig. 1.



Fig. 1. Classification of synchrophasor research studies.

Research studies on synchrophasor estimation devices primarily focus on accuracy and latency improvements of synchrophasor algorithms and communication protocols [6], while those on power system applications focus on utilization of synchrophasor data for protection schemes, stability assessment, state-estimation, fault detection, wide-area oscillation damping control, planning and placement of synchrophasor devices in wide-area measurement systems in power grids, and model validation [6].

A. Definition of Phasors and Synchrophasors

A phasor is defined as a complex quantity that represents both the magnitude and phase of a sinusoidal waveform at a given instant of time. In phasor format, this sinusoidal voltage waveform v(t) can be represented as:

$$V = (V_m / \sqrt{2})e^{j\theta} \tag{1}$$

According to the IEC/IEEE definition (IEC/IEEE 60255-118-1:2018 [8]), a 'synchrophasor' is defined as a representation of a phasor, as defined by (2), where θ is the instantaneous phase angle relative to a cosine function at the nominal system frequency (f_0) synchronized to coordinated universal time (UTC) [8]-[9]. The reference cosine function has its maximum (i.e., V_m) at t = 0 (1 pulse per-second (PPS)). The definition of a synchrophasor is illustrated in Fig. 2.

The measured voltage waveform v(t) can be represented in synchrophasor format as follows:

$$v(t) = \left(\frac{V_m(t)}{\sqrt{2}}\right) e^{j(2\pi \int g dt + \theta)}$$
(2)

If the frequency difference (g(t)) between the actual and

nominal frequencies is a constant (Δf) , then the phase angles of the sequence of phasors will change at a rate of $2\pi\Delta f (1/f_0)$.



Fig. 2. Synchrophasor representation of a signal v(t).

These reported angles will then continuously increase with time until they reach 180° and wrap around to -180° . The synchrophasor is usually reported in angles between 180° and -180° . As synchrophasor measurements are taken based on a common time reference (i.e., UTC), they can be used for real-time monitoring of power systems; in particular, these measurements are directly comparable [9]. Synchrophasor measurements also capture waveform information, such as frequency, rate-of-change-of-frequency (ROCOF) local frequency swings, and oscillations. These parameters are usually extracted after voltage/current phasor estimation using additional algorithms [9]-[10].

Typically, oscillations emanating from various power system sources and induced due to various phenomena will be superimposed on the main power frequency waveform. Impacts due to these oscillations can be realized as either phase angle modulation or magnitude modulation or both [11]. For example, the influence of phase angle and magnitude modulation on the phasor can be respectively represented as follows:

$$v(t) = \left(\frac{V_m(t)}{\sqrt{2}}\right) e^{j(2\pi \int g dt + \theta(1 + v_p e^{j\omega_p(t)}))}$$
$$v(t) = \left(1 + v_s e^{j\omega_s(t)}\right) \left(\frac{V_m(t)}{\sqrt{2}}\right) e^{j(2\pi \int g dt + \theta)}$$
(3)

where v_p , v_s , ω_p , and ω_s respectively denote the phase angle modulation factor, magnitude modulation factor, phase angle modulation frequency, and magnitude modulation frequency.

B. Synchrophasor Technology

The synchrophasor technology was first standardized by the IEEE Std. 1344-1995 [12] and subsequently it was evolved into IEEE Std. C37.118.1-2005 [13] and IEEE Std. C37.118.1-2011 [9]. Most recently IEEE Std. C37.118.1-2011 superseded by IEC/IEEE 60255-118-1:2018 [8], which stipulates the requirements satisfied by the synchrophasor measurement devices.



Fig. 3. Typical elements of a phasor measurement unit (PMU).

A synchrophasor measuring device is commonly known as a PMU and consists of several components, such as the measurement interface, signal processing unit, global position system (GPS) antenna & UTC acquisition, and communication interface (see Fig. 3) [8].

The measurement interface is usually an analog interface and may contain an analog filter at the front end (i.e., low-pass antialiasing filter). Captured signals (i.e., voltage (v(t)) and current (i(t)) signals) from the measurement interface (e.g., potential transformer (PT) and current transformer (CT)) are subsequently sent to the signal processing unit where they are processed through an analog-to-digital converter (ADC). A/D conversion is done based on a synchronized clock (i.e., UTC), and then a phasor measurement algorithm (i.e., synchrophasor algorithm) subsequently extracts the phasor data from the measured signal. The published literature reports many phasor measurement algorithms, such as quadrature demodulation, discrete Fourier transform (DFT), phase-locked-loop (PLL), z-transform, Kalman filtering, etc [6], [14]. The PLL is the fastest phasor estimation algorithm; however, its accuracy decreases under harmonic distortions while the quadrature demodulation method is a very accurate algorithm even under such conditions [15]. The majority of the commercial PMU implementations are based on the DFT technique or its variants [16].

Accuracy of synchrophasor measurement is mainly evaluated by the total vector error (TVE), which determines the accuracy of the phasor measurement against the magnitude and phase of the input signal. IEC/IEEE 60255-118-1:2018 [8] specifies a range of static and dynamic compliance requirements to which synchrophasor devices should adhere.

C.PMU based Monitoring Networks

The synchrophasor data measured from each PMU are communicated to a central location (i.e., phasor data concentrator) with a timestamp for each data point based on the protocol defined in IEC/IEEE 60255-118-1:2018 [8]. Synchrophasor data are mainly transferred via internet protocol (IP) over Ethernet at a specified reporting rate to a central location (e.g., control centre). The device that collates the synchrophasor data from various parts of the network is commonly known as the phasor data concentrator (PDC). In network control centres, the synchrophasor data streams stored by PDCs are used for real-time situational awareness applications, e.g., real-time voltage stability, oscillatory stability, transient stability, etc. [17]-[18].



Fig. 4. Architecture of a phasor data concentrator.

Multiple PDCs are typically employed for power networks spread across a large geographical area in a hierarchical manner. The PDCs located at the bottom of the hierarchy are called the local PDCs, with the data collated at these local PDCs are sent to regional PDCs. The data collated at regional PDCs are sent to central/corporate PDCs.

D.Capabilities and Limitations

Synchrophasor units typically report at the power frequency (e.g., 50 Hz); however, IEC/IEEE 60255-118-1:2018 also allows low reporting rates, such as 10 and 25 Hz, and high reporting rates, such as 100 Hz, for 50 Hz systems [8]. The reliability of the communication protocol (e.g., asymmetric digital subscriber line (ADSL), fourth-generation 4G, worldwide interoperability for microwave access (WiMAX), etc.) associated with the synchrophasor network directly affects the measurement quality [19]. Typically, latency and packet loss are the main issues associated with the communication protocol [20]. The latency is an important parameter for real-time stability monitoring and depends on several factors, such as delays associated with measurement system filtering, phasor measurement algorithms, and communication channel bandwidth. The communication channel bandwidth is the main contributing factor for the latency. In addition, packet loss also affects the quality of the data acquired by the synchrophasor network. Research studies have estimated the impact of latency and packet loss in synchrophasor data streams and developed strategies to deal with these issues [21]-[24]. In addition to the communication protocol related issues, synchrophasor measurements are also affected by the noise and bias errors, hence synchrophasor measurement devices must be calibrated to ensure the fidelity of the phasor measurement. The literature reports various calibration methods, such as adaptive nonlinear state-estimation [25], and density-based spatial clustering [26].

III. CLASSIFICATION AND NEW CHALLENGES OF POWER SYSTEM OSCILLATIONS

A. Classification of Power System Oscillations

Power system oscillations, usually in the form of power oscillation, can be triggered by a variety of factors such as variations in load and renewable power generation, torsional resonance, converter control system switching, etc. Power systems normally cannot avoid these triggering factors and are stable if the occurring oscillations can be controlled and eventually suppressed. On the contrary, if the magnitude of oscillations continues to increase or is sustained indefinitely, then so-called oscillatory instability appears. Therefore, power systems should always be able to operate against continuous oscillations [27].

Apart from the forced oscillation associated with cyclic oscillating sources, natural power system oscillations can generally be classified into two major categories according to the different oscillatory frequency ranges and mechanisms: low frequency oscillation (LFO) and sub/super-synchronous oscillation/resonance (SSO/SSR) [28]. The former mainly involves synchronous generators (SGs) and can be further classified into three sub-categories based on the typical frequency [28],[29]: 1. Local machine system oscillations (one synchronous generator or a group of coherent synchronous generators against the whole strong power system or load centre, 0.7~3.0 Hz). 2. Local plant/interplant oscillations: (two or more synchronous generators in the same power plant or

nearby power plant against one another, $0.7 \sim 3.0$ Hz). 3. Inter (Wide) -area oscillations: (a group of coherent synchronous generators in one area against another group of coherent synchronous generators located in another area of a wide-area power system, $0.1 \sim 0.7$ Hz).

In contrast to LFO, SSO/SSR has a wide range of oscillatory frequencies due to the sophisticated causes, and classification is normally based on the participating equipment. Classification has undergone a dynamic development process and quite a few amendments have been made by the SSR working group of the IEEE Power System Dynamic Performance (PSDP) subcommittee. Since the year 1976, when the first SSO/SSR classification report [30] was produced by the SSR working group, four supplementary reports [31]-[34] were then produced in 1979, 1985, 1991 and 1997 respectively. Apart from those reports, two new SSO/SSR definition and classification reports [35], [36] were also produced in 1985 and 1992 respectively. Recently, owing to the increasing integration of converter-interfaced equipment such as wind and solar power generation, emerging types of SSO/SSR are continuously being observed [37], [38], which are essentially different from the previously defined SSO/SSR sub-categories. Therefore, considering the existing classification and the various newly observed oscillations affected by PEC-interfaced systems, SSO/SSR can be re-classified into three sub-categories: 1. SSR between rotating components and a series compensated grid: (induction generator/ machine effect (IGE/IME), torque amplification (TA), and torsional interaction (TI)), 2. Control device-dependent SSO: (steam/hydro turbine against fast response controllers, so-called sub-synchronous torsional interaction (SSTI)), 3. Sub-synchronous control interaction (SSCI) among PECs and series compensated grids. As SSCI is a novel type of SSO/SSR, the essential mechanism of such interactions and how to damp them remain open questions for grid connection of PEC systems. In summary, an illustrative diagram for the classification of power system oscillations is presented in Fig. 5.



Fig. 5. Classification of power system oscillations.

B.Oscillation Issues in Renewable-rich Power Networks

For the sustainable development of society and economics, conventional fossil fuel-based generators are expected to be gradually decommissioned and replaced by a growing amount of renewable power generation (e.g., wind and solar) in many countries and regions. As a result, modern power system dynamics, especially oscillatory characteristics, have been significantly affected by the high penetration of renewables with respect to two main aspects: 1. With the rapid development of RESs, increasing amounts of PEC-connected equipment have penetrated into conventional power systems, which enhances system flexibility and controllability [39]–[42] but also considerably complicates the dynamic behaviour of both transmission and distribution systems and causes complex oscillatory stability problems [43]–[48];

2. The intermittence and fluctuation of large numbers of renewable energy sources (RESs) bring massive uncertainties to the stability margin of different oscillatory problems [46], e.g., LFO and SSO/SSR, as their nature means the system operational status continuously changes.

On the one hand, the PEC system participates in the existing conventional power oscillations (e.g., LFO) and makes the problems more complex [47][48]; On the other hand, it also brings some new types of oscillations and frequent interactions among different types of RES PECs or between the RES PECs and weak power grid with different voltage levels, which are very different in nature from the LFO problem. For instance, an SSR with a resonant frequency of 20 Hz occurred between the DFIG-based wind farm and series compensation of the power grid in Texas (USA), which caused crowbar damage and disconnection of several DFIGs in 2009. Severe sub/super-synchronous oscillations among full converter-based wind generators were observed in Xinjiang (China), which caused the trip of a large power plant as well as an ultra-high-voltage direct current (HVDC) system in 2015. According to historical records, many more under/over frequency oscillations are observed in wind farm-connected systems, the dynamics of which are associated with PECs rather than the system or wind turbine. Exactly how such oscillations happen and how to damp them remain open problems for grid connections of wind power. Therefore, emerging oscillations together with existing oscillation problems introduce considerable complexities to operational assessment, pose a very serious threat to the operational security of modern power systems, and could result in critical system accidents and enormous losses. There is a pressing need to carefully investigate these important oscillatory issues in renewable-rich power networks and provide effective solutions to the power system operators.

IV. SYNCHROPHASOR-BASED OSCILLATION MONITORING AND ANALYSIS METHODS

Traditional methods to analyze oscillatory stability mainly include modal analysis in the frequency domain and nonlinear simulation in the time domain, both of which heavily rely on the accuracy of system dynamic models and thus are also named 'model-based' methods [28]. However, with the integration of large numbers of RES PECs, system dynamic modelling encounters critical challenges. Large quantities of PEC systems with complex structures, time-varying parameters and 'black-box' controllers not only make system modeling nearly an impossible task, but also significantly increase model dimension and computational burden especially for a resource-constrained real-time operation. Hence, due to these 'new features', traditional methods might be no longer suitable modern system operation. Moreover, traditional for model-based methods face some practical limitations. Different levels of modelling adequacy and complexity are required to

deal with different oscillation/resonance problems. Additionally, different power system commercial packages might be employed for offline and online studies in some utilities (e.g., PowerFactory DIgSILENT is used for offline planning while PowerTech DSA is used for online operation by National Grid UK). Hence, the unavoidable inconsistency of dynamic models built in different packages often leads to inevitable discrepancies in the stability analysis results, which complicates the dynamic issue, aggravates the analysis burden and requires extra work in terms of model validation.

The deployment of PMUs with a high sampling rate at many critical terminals of the system enables the real-time monitoring of power system dynamics, which provides important information for oscillatory stability assessment and enhancement. If both the quantity and quality of the measured data are sufficient to primarily support the assessment, the approach can be named data-based oscillatory stability analysis. This approach is superior due to the boost of data analytics capability over the traditional model-based analysis for dealing with the new smart grid environment characterized by PEC systems and can provide an effective solution to the above-mentioned challenges. The application of data-based oscillatory stability analysis can generally be divided into two categories: estimating the target oscillation mode/mode shape at the system level and tracking the energy flow of system components at the device level. The following review regarding the existing data-based techniques in oscillatory stability analysis is carried out with respect to these two aspects.

A.Oscillation Mode/Mode Shape Monitoring and Estimation

Since the failure of model-based methods to identify the unstable oscillation mode of the 1996 outage in the USA [49], an increasing number of methods, from signal processing to system identification to artificial intelligence, have been used to monitor and estimate the modal properties of oscillations, including oscillatory frequency, damping ratio, and mode shape [50]. Initial efforts were devoted to the estimation of oscillation mode (i.e., frequency and damping) as it is directly associated with the stability margin. By using the ringdown (post-fault) data, some estimation methods, such as Prony analysis [51], minimal realization [52], eigensystem realization [53], Fourier transformation, Hilbert-Hung transformation [54], matrix pencil [55], wavelet transformation, variable projection [56], and phase-locked loop were developed. Because the system usually operates in normal conditions, other estimation methods suitable for ambient data have also been proposed including spectral analysis [57], the Yule-Walker method [58], frequency domain decomposition [59], and the autoregressive moving average exogenous (ARMAX) model [60]. The recursive method (least mean squares adaptive filtering [61] and robust recursive least squares [62]) and subspace system identification [63] are applicable for both data types.

On the contrary, the estimation of mode shape to facilitate deeper understanding about the oscillation and provide useful information for damping control was recently developed, with methods including the continuous modal parameter estimator [64], principal component analysis [65], Prony analysis, moment-matching method [66], matrix pencil [67], Kalman filtering [68], and phase-locked loop method for ringdown data

as well as cross-spectrum analysis [69], frequency domain decomposition [52], channel-matching method [70], transfer function [71], and ARMAX model [60] for ambient data. Stochastic subspace system identification proposed in [72] is applicable for both types of data. Note that Prony analysis, frequency domain decomposition, PLL method, ARMAX model, matrix pencil, and subspace system identification can be employed for both mode and mode shape estimation; however, only subspace system identification can accommodate both ringdown and ambient data conditions, but this requires considerable computational resources.

Therefore, some observations on the current research and techniques are summarized: 1) Most research targets the conventional electromechanical oscillation modes (e.g., LFO), and few studies or data-based estimation techniques tackle the new oscillation modes induced by the PEC systems (e.g., power resonance due to the interaction between renewables and voltage source converters (VSCs), HVDC controllers, and forced oscillations brought by the fluctuation of renewables), 2) Few data-based estimation methods can be adapted to both ringdown and ambient data conditions, 3) The oscillation sources are indirectly identified by the estimated mode shape (i.e., major SGs associated with the oscillation modes), which cannot actually achieve 100% accuracy and hinder a better understanding of the oscillation issues, 4) Most research assumes that the PMU measurement is fully available and correct and does not consider data security and quality issues, which could significantly affect the monitoring and estimation performance, 5) The existing monitoring and estimation tools are essentially based on modal analysis, which deal with a large amount of data and matrices, perform like a 'black box', and cannot dig for further information of essential oscillation mechanisms to reveal how the damping contribution is distributed and transmitted from the damping source (e.g., damping controller) to the specific oscillation mode and facilitate the understanding of power system operators [73]-[75]. Hence, they strictly function to monitor and estimate rather than as an analysis tool, and do not offer any assessment support from the device level.

B. Energy Flow Tracking Based on Measurement Data

The other type of data-based method in oscillatory stability analysis is energy flow tracking and assessment at the device level. If a device is connected to the system by a branch ij at terminal *i*, the oscillation energy flow from the device to the branch can be obtained by (4) [76],

$$W_{ij} = \int P_{ij} d\theta_{ij} + \frac{Q_{ij}}{U_i} dU_i$$
(4)

where P_{ij} and Q_{ij} are the active and reactive power from terminal *i* to terminal *j*, θ_{ij} is the voltage angle difference between terminals *i* and *j*, and U_i is the voltage magnitude of terminal *i*. P_{ij} , Q_{ij} , U_i , and θ_{ij} can be measured directly. It is rigorously proven by [76] that if the device mentioned above is an SG, the oscillation energy dissipation $(dW_{ij})/dt$ should be equal to the damping torque of the SG for a single machine infinite bus system, and hence the method can provide power system operators a clear physical understanding of the oscillation problems. On this basis, the work in [77] has further developed a practical method based on the ambient measurement data that can be applied to any system device. By employing Parseval's theory, the damping torque coefficient provided by the system device can be computed by (5),

$$K_{D,i}(f) = Real\left[\frac{S_{\Delta P_{ij},\Delta \omega_i}(f) + S_{\Delta Q_{ij},\Delta \dot{U}_i}(f)}{S_{\Delta \omega_i,\Delta \omega_i}(f)}\right]$$
(5)

where $S_{x_1,x_2}(f)$ is the cross-energy spectral density of $x_1(t)$ and $x_2(t)$, and ω_i is the angular speed of the voltage at terminal *i*. Using (5), the contribution from any local component to the damping of any power oscillation over a wide frequency range can be analyzed.

The above-mentioned oscillation energy flow methods have been recently developed with the aid of continuous deployment of PMUs for major source devices and have quite a few merits, as follows: 1) The computation of energy flow is straightforward and efficient and is very suitable for online monitoring and visualization of power oscillation and resonance, 2) This method makes it easy to accurately detect the oscillation source (with negative energy dissipation) and identify the real cause of the oscillation regardless of the type of energy sources, 3) As any oscillation problem is essentially reflected as energy flow fluctuation, energy flow tracking and assessment can be applied to tackle any type of oscillation problem in transmission and distribution systems. Therefore, it is reasonable to believe that the method can be also employed to solve oscillation problems associated with PECs.

However, unlike the definition of 'stability' in power electronics, power system stability is usually a global problem, power system oscillatory stability. The e.g., oscillation/resonance modes normally involve multiple separate oscillating participants rather than just one SG or PEC. Tracking local energy flow is not sufficient to obtain a full picture and thus effectively solve the system-level oscillation problems from the power system operator's perspective. Although the consistency of energy dissipation with the damping torque of a generator has been well proven in a single machine infinite bus system, it is technically difficult to extend the rigorous proof to a multi-machine power system [78] and raise the energy flow assessment to the system level. As a result, this method is still limited to local applications and the connection between the local damping contribution and system oscillatory stability margin is missing. Moreover, the synchrophasor technology-based wide-area measurement system (WAMS) is still under development and most power systems do not yet have 100% observability.

C.SSO Monitoring and Analysis Methods

According to the literature, monitoring of sub-synchronous oscillations was not attractive compared to inter-area oscillations in the early years after the introduction of synchrophasor technology for power system applications. However, the observability of sub-synchronous oscillations in synchrophasor measurements is possible with the increased output rates of phasor measurements (e.g., 100 or 120 Hz). The reference [79] theoretically shows the variation of the fundamental phasor of voltage and current signals in the presence of sub-synchronous oscillations in the system.

In this context, researchers have demonstrated that the same algorithms for low-frequency oscillation monitoring can be used to detect sub-synchronous oscillations with few adjustments. The main objective of an oscillation monitoring algorithm is therefore to extract the frequency, damping, amplitude, and phase angle parameters. Among them, frequency and damping are the key parameters from a system stability point of view. Prony, Hankel total least squares, eigen realization, and matrix pencil are four commonly used time-domain parametric methods to determine the modal parameters in online and offline environments. These four methods differ with respect to the manner in which the least squares solution is obtained by processing the samples stored in a data matrix. Furthermore, the modal parameters can also be determined by subjecting the above equation to Fourier transform and processing the signal in the frequency domain. Reference [80] shows that the frequency and damping of both low-frequency and sub-synchronous oscillations can be extracted using a multi-dimensional Fourier analysis of a ringdown response. It also shows that the Prony, Hankel total least squares, eigen realization, and matrix pencil methods can also be accurately used for the above purpose.

As experienced in sub-synchronous oscillation-based incidents, super-synchronous inter-harmonics can also present in voltage and current signals in addition to sub-synchronous inter-harmonics [81]. However, considering the maximum reporting rate of either 100 or 120 samples per second [8], the super-synchronous oscillations are not visible in conventional PMU measurements. Thus, such oscillations can be only observed in real-time by modifying the conventional DFT-based phasor estimation algorithm in PMUs. Several attempts in this regard can be found in the literature. In [82], an inter-harmonic identification method is proposed by applying Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) with zero-padding on complex phasor sequences of PMUs. A similar approach is proposed in [83], in which the sub/super-synchronous harmonic components are extracted using an FFT algorithm with the Grandke ratio method to correct spectral leakage in the FFT algorithm. The conventional DFT-based phasor calculation and three-point correction algorithm are used in [84] to estimate both fundamental and inter-harmonic phasors. In [85], a synchronized measurement device (SMD) called SMD-R is developed and experimentally validated for use in inverter-based renewable rich networks to measure both fundamental and inter-harmonic phasors in real time using an improved FFT algorithm. A recent publication, [81], proposes an improved iterative Taylor-Fourier multifrequency (I2TFM) phasor estimator to accurately extract model parameters of both fundamental and sub/super-synchronous oscillations. The total vector error and the frequency error are shown to be less in the I2TFM algorithm developed.

Real-time monitoring of super-synchronous oscillations is only possible by modifying the conventional phasor estimation algorithm as highlighted above. This leads to new research opportunities in real-time situational awareness in future renewable integrated power systems.

V. SYNCHROPHASOR-BASED OSCILLATION DAMPING SYSTEMS

Inter-area, inter-plant, or even sub-synchronous oscillatory modes limit power transfer along the lines when they are poorly damped and can lead to a failure in the system when they are unstable. Therefore, real-time monitoring of power system oscillations and initiating preventive control actions when they are not acceptable, are topics that have been receiving increased attention from the research community. In this regard, the majority of the related literature describes different algorithms for controlling inter-area oscillations using synchrophasor measurements. This is due to the fact that the unstable inter-area oscillations lead to widespread failures because they involve the oscillations of groups of generators located in different regions of the network.

Insufficient damping of inter-area oscillations is identified as a small-signal stability problem in power systems [86]. Traditionally, this stability problem has therefore been controlled using a properly tuned power system stabiliser (PSS) that provides an auxiliary controlling signal to an automatic voltage regulator (AVR) [20]. The use of a linearized model to design PSSs and hence to solve the small-signal stability problem creates challenges related to the (a) validity of the linear model about multiple operating points, (b) robustness and adaptability of the designed controllers to work under multiple operating conditions, (c) model uncertainties, and (d) size and associated computational complexities of the state matrix of larger power systems. Another limitation of conventional PSS as reported in literature is its inability to provide adequate damping simultaneously for local and inter-area oscillatory modes. In order to address this issue, a modern multi-band power system stabilizer (PSS4B) is proposed in [87]. A hierarchical two-stage control strategy using Synchrophasor data is proposed in reference [88] to design a multi-stage PSS. Despite all these limitations, PSSs designed using local signals [89] may not properly damp inter-area oscillations because such oscillations involve generators that are geographically spread out in larger areas. In this context, the availability of synchrophasor measurements and hence the remote signals from widely dispersed locations in a power system play an important role in designing wide-area damping controllers.

The majority of existing synchrophasor-based wide-area damping controllers fall under the category of robust and adaptive controllers. These controllers are designed with the objective of handling the model uncertainties and adaptively upgrading under vast operating conditions of the power system. Thus, they are much better than traditional linear controllers. Some of these robust and adaptive controllers are the H_{∞} controller, multiagent H_{∞} controller [90], mixed H_2/H_{∞} controller [91] dual Youla parameterization-based adaptive controller [92], and multi-polytopic adaptive controller [93]. The accurate damping controlling of the above techniques has been demonstrated in the literature using simulated signals for different test systems under different operating conditions. In reference [94], few of these wide-area damping controller design techniques are compared against some properties such as robustness, calculation cost, etc.

A challenge when using remote signals for damping controllers is the inherent communication delays, which may be due to network-induced delays, data dropout, etc. These inherent delays have been considered as model uncertainty in some literature [95]. Later research reports that the controllers can be designed by compensating for the communication delay even at the design stage. The networked predictive control approach [96], phasor power oscillation damping controller [97], enhanced adaptive phasor power oscillation damping controller [98], Smith predictor-based H_{∞} controller [99], recurrent neural network-based controller [100], and stochastic subspace identification-based controller [101] are examples of such controllers. Another category of synchrophasor-based damping controller is designed using FACTS devices, such as the wide-area damping controller designed using a thyristor-controlled series capacitor (TCSC) [102] and the adaptive neuro-fuzzy SVC controller [103].

VI.SYNCHROPHASOR-BASED OSCILLATION MONITORING AND CONTROL SYSTEMS IN POWER GRIDS

Synchrophasor-based wide-area oscillation monitoring and control systems are emerging in power grids around the world due to the high accuracy and significantly improved reporting rates they offered by synchrophasor systems. Table 1 summarizes the features of various synchrophasor-based oscillatory stability monitoring systems implemented in power grids around the world.

WAMS System	Network	Techniques	Monitored Oscillation Frequencies	Oscillation Type
Statnett Power Oscillation Monitoring System [104]–[106]	Nordic Power Network	Autoregressive model and Kalman filtering	0.33 Hz, 0.48 Hz, 0.62 Hz (IAM) 0.55 Hz, 0.76 Hz (LAM)	inter-area modes (IAM), local-area modes (LAM)
SGCC WAMS Platform [107]	China	Energy function method and autoregressive moving average (ARMA)	0.1-0.2 Hz (IAM) 0.7-2.5 Hz (LAM)	IAM, LAM
Swissgrid WAMS [108]	Swissgrid	Sliding window with modal analysis	0.13-0.27 Hz (IAM) 0.9-2 Hz (LAM)	IAM, LAM
Southern California Edison Company [109]	Southern California	-	0 to 1.5 Hz	-
Manitoba Hydro [20]	Manitoba	-	0.1 to 0.3 Hz	-
Tennessee Valley[110]	Knoxville, Tennessee	Prony analysis	1.2 Hz (LAM)	LAM

Table 1: PMU-based Oscillation Monitoring Systems in Power Grids

One of the earliest PMU-based oscillation monitoring systems was the Statnett power oscillation monitoring system [104]–[106], which was implemented in parallel with the existing SCADA system. Early on, the synchrophasor data were not sufficient for the power system stabilizers to use for oscillation damping, although the system was able to capture and analyze oscillations in real time [104]. Subsequently, the Statnett power oscillation monitoring system was improved to damp inter-area mode oscillations (e.g., 0.48 Hz mode) using SVCs [105]. The architecture of the Statnett power oscillation monitoring and damping control system is shown in Fig. 6.



Fig. 6. Architecture of the Statnett power oscillation monitoring and damping system [105].

The Statnett power oscillation damping (POD) control system designed for the SVC has the capability to choose the damping signal from either the local damping controller or the WAMS-based controller signal (i.e., wide-area POD (WAPOD) control). Therefore, if the WAMS-based damping signal is lost or unreliable, then the control system will automatically switch over to the local damping controller [105]. The Statnett oscillation damping system has proven to be reliable under communication latencies up to 200-300 ms [105].

VII. WIDE-AREA MONITORING AND ANALYSIS USING BIG DATA ANALYTICS

Big data analytics is becoming a very active research area in synchrophasor measurement networks, as a large amount of synchrophasor data accumulates in synchrophasor networks due to high sampling rates [111]-[112]. Big data analytics is applied to large databases to efficiently process and extract various information within a quick turnaround time. 'Big data' is characterized by three-main features [113]—volume, variety, and velocity—and the data produced by phasor measurement networks satisfy these characteristics. According to [111], the big data analytics applied to synchrophasor measurements can be broadly divided into four major types: 1) dynamic event detection, 2) data mining in large databases, 3) advanced statistics, 4) scale-up and parallel processing.

A. Dynamic Event Detection

These algorithms are designed for large streams of synchrophasor data for real-time detection of dynamic events in power networks [114]–[116]. In [114], the authors proposed to continually check the signal against a threshold value after the signal is filtered by a finite impulse response (FIR) filter. In addition, they proposed a dynamic line outage detection algorithm using synchrophasor data streams and used fast-forward and fast-backward solutions to reduce the computational burden. An offline hierarchical clustering approach was proposed in [115] for coherent groups of generators to detect the event location. The authors used the individual generator rotor angles extracted from PMU data, with the method proving to be more than 80% accurate in locating an event. The detrended fluctuation analysis (DFA) technique was proposed in [116] to detect various transient events in the network. The DFA technique has three main steps. First, the recorded PMU signal, y(k) is corrected by removing the average value of the data in the data window, (y_{avg}) . The corrected signal $[y(k) = y(k) - y_{avg}]$ is then divided into

different segments of selected lengths and linear fittings are derived for the data within each segment. Subsequently, an error for each segment is calculated by deducting this linear fitting from the corrected signal in the respective segment. The root mean square error of each segment is then used as an indicator to identify an occurrence of a dynamic event in the network. The approximate location of the dynamic event is determined by comparing the root mean square errors among different PMU measurements.

B. Data Mining in Large Databases

It is not feasible to apply traditional data mining techniques such as classification, clustering, regression, prediction, tracking patterns, etc. to synchrophasor database systems containing billions of data points. Therefore, additional big data analytics tools have been developed to make data mining in large-database systems more effective. These methods include parallel processing algorithms, such as ordinary least squares, conjugate gradient, Mann-Whitney U testing [117], etc. In addition, big data researchers have developed synchrophasor data processing frameworks that can more effectively handle billions of data points [118]. For example, [118] proposes a data processing and storage framework for clustering of μ PMUs, where the data streams received from μ PMUs are time aligned and feed into feature extraction algorithms (e.g., ROCOF, angle difference, etc.) known as 'distillers' and stored in the Berkeley Tree Database (BTrDB). This architecture allows redundancy in multiple interdependent data streams, as it only stores the difference between the primary and other data streams.

Among the big data analytics techniques applied to synchrophasor databases, data mining is the most commonly used. Data mining has been applied to synchrophasor measurements for power system dynamic stability assessment (DSA) [119], instability prediction [120], state estimation [121], and protection [122]. Among the data mining approaches, classification approaches are most commonly used for synchrophasor data streams [119]. Regression analysis is another big-data analysis technique reported in the literature for synchrophasor data-streams.

C.Advanced Statistics

Various statistical indices have been developed for synchrophasor data to predict stability issues in power networks [123]-[124]. In [123] the authors use the statistical indices of autocorrelation and variance on bus voltage measurements to produce early warnings under transient conditions. Linear eigenvalue statistics (LESs) were proposed for synchrophasor data matrices in [124] for situational awareness in power grids. The LESs enable anomaly detection from synchrophasor data streams more efficiently than conventional methods.

D.Scale-up and Parallel Processing

The conventional algorithms cannot cope with the increasing dimensions of the data and large number of parallel data streams from synchrophasor devices, and hence existing algorithms have been enhanced to deal with large dimensions. To deal with these high-dimensional and parallel data streams, the efficient approach is to decompose the data into low rank and low variation components, exploiting the sparsity property of matrices, etc. MapReduce programming models [125], such as Hadoop [126] and Spark [127], are some example techniques. The research study presented in [128] applies parallel detrended fluctuation analysis (PDFA) to detect transient events from the synchrophasor data using the Hadoop MapReduce model. In this approach, the synchrophasor data are split into a number of data blocks and each data block is processed in parallel as a map task to determine the fluctuation values. In the final stage, all fluctuation values are combined and compared against a threshold value to identify transient events.

VIII. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH

The above review revealed that the transition from model-based oscillatory stability analysis to data-based oscillatory stability analysis enabled by WAMS and big-data analytics techniques has provided an effective solution to tackle the critical threats and challenges brought about by the large-scale integration of RESs to system operational planning. More research efforts should be devoted to facilitating this transition, and following research studies are recommended:

- As existing data-based methods were mainly developed to solve conventional oscillation problems, more systematic research should be implemented to design data-based methods for the emerging or mixed oscillation issues caused by massive RES PECs.
- There is a great need to develop a breakthrough theory for oscillatory stability monitoring and analysis based on energy flow tracking that can link system-level assessment with device-level tracking and provide a clear physical explanation of the oscillation mechanism.
- Data quality and cyber security issues must be considered to make existing data-based oscillation monitoring and analysis tools more practical and reliable. Communication problems, such as data dropout and delay (or lack of data sources) and bad data (or data attack) should be examined.
- Sub-synchronous oscillations play a key role in system stability due to added PEC-interfaced generation. The locations of the measurements and the network topology can significantly impact the observability, which is significant when implementing a synchrophasor-based oscillation monitoring algorithm. Therefore, it is important to investigate the observability of sub-synchronous oscillations due to added PEC-interfaced renewables.
- Big data analytics is an emerging research area in synchrophasor networks because synchrophasor measurement systems accumulate trillions of data points each year. However, only limited research has used these data analytics techniques for oscillatory stability monitoring and analysis, and hence further studies are required to investigate the application of data analytics for oscillation monitoring and analysis.

IX. CONCLUSIONS

This paper reviewed the state-of-the-art with respect to oscillatory stability monitoring, analysis, and control techniques using synchrophasor technology while placing special emphasis on oscillations induced by PEC-interfaced renewable generation. Many oscillation analysis algorithms are reported in the literature and most can be applied to monitor and analyze different types of oscillations. However, each algorithm must be appropriately tuned based on the characteristics of each oscillation type. Emerging oscillatory stability issues due to PEC-interfaced renewables have yet to be fully explored by the power system community; synchrophasor technology can be used as an effective tool to fully analyze and characterize these oscillations. Big-data analytics must be used in the future for oscillatory stability monitoring, analysis, and control because these techniques can reduce the computational burden and improve the latency in processing synchrophasor data-streams. Future research is needed to advance oscillatory stability monitoring using synchrophasor data streams, in particular to use these techniques to mitigate PEC-interfaced oscillations.

X. References

- G. Zhabelova, V. Vyatkin, and V.N. Dubinin, "Toward Industrially Usable Agent Technology for Smart Grid Automation," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, vol. 62, no. 4, pp. 2629–2641, 2015.
- [2] X. Yu and Y. Xue, "Smart Grids: A Cyber–Physical Systems Perspective," Proc. IEEE, vol. 104, no. 5, pp. 1058–1070, May 2016.
- [3] B. Fox, D. Flynn, L. Bryans, N. Jenkins, D. Milborrow, M. O'Mally, R. Watson, Wind Power Integration: Connection and System Operational Aspects, London: IET, 2014.
- [4] E. Björk, "Load Characteristic Influence on Power Oscillation Damping," Ph.D dissertation, KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, Sweden, 2018.
- [5] A. Bloom U Helman, H. Holttinen, K. Summers, J. Bakke, et al., "It's Indisputable: Five Facts About Planning and Operating Modern Power Systems," *IEEE Power Energy Mag.*, vol. 15, no. 6, pp. 22–30, Nov. 2017.
- [6] A. Davoudi, A. Safdarian, M. Shahidehpour, F. Aminifar, and M. Fotuhi-Firuzabad, "Synchrophasor Measurement Technology in Power Systems: Panorama and State-of-the-Art," *IEEE Access*, 2015.
- [7] D. Ghosh, T. Ghose, and D.K. Mohanta, "Communication Feasibility Analysis for Smart Grid With Phasor Measurement Units," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Informatics*, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 1486–1496, Aug. 2013.
- [8] IEEE, "IEEE/IEC International Standard Measuring relays and protection equipment - Part 118-1: Synchrophasor for power systems - Measurements," *IEC/IEEE 60255-118-1:2018*, pp. 1–78, New York, 2018.
- [9] IEEE, "C37.118.1-2011 IEEE Standard for Synchrophasor Measurements for Power Systems," *IEEE Std C37.118.1-2011*, pp. 1-61, New York, 2011.
- [10] C. Thilakarathne, L. Meegahapola, and N. Fernando, "Improved Synchrophasor Models for Power System Dynamic Stability Evaluation based on IEEE C37.118.1 Reference Architecture," *IEEE Trans. Instrum. Meas.*, vol. 66, no. 11, 2017.
- [11] A.G. Phadke, and B. Kasztenny, "Synchronized Phasor and Frequency Measurement Under Transient Conditions," *IEEE Trans. Power Deliv.*, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 89–95, Jan. 2009.
- [12] IEEE, "1344-1995 IEEE Standard for Synchrophasers for Power Systems," IEEE Std 1344-1995(R2001), pp. 1-36, New York, 1995.
- [13] IEEE, "IEEE Std C37.118-2005 (Revision of IEEE Std 1344-1995)," IEEE Std C37.118-2005 (Revision of IEEE Std 1344-1995), pp. 1–57, New York, 2006.
- [14] C.I. Chen, "A Phasor Estimator For Synchronization Between Power Grid And Distributed Generation System," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, vol. 60, no. 8, pp. 3248– 3255, 2013.
- [15] C. Thilakarathne, L. Meegahapola, and N. Fernando, "Static Performance Comparison of Prominent Synchrophasor Algorithms," 2017 IEEE Innovative Smart Grid Technologies - Asia, 2017.
- [16] P. Romano, and M. Paolone, "Enhanced Interpolated-DFT for Synchrophasor Estimation in FPGAs: Theory, Implementation, and Validation of a PMU Prototype," *IEEE Trans. Instrum. Meas.*, vol. 63, no. 12, pp. 2824–2836, Dec. 2014.
- [17] R. Pourramezan, Y. Seyedi, H. Karimi, G. Zhu, and M. Mont-Briant, "Design of an Advanced Phasor Data Concentrator for Monitoring of Distributed Energy Resources in Smart Microgrids," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Informatics*, vol. 13, no. 6, pp. 3027–3036, Dec. 2017.
- [18] IEEE, "IEEE Guide for Phasor Data Concentrator Requirements for Power System Protection, Control, and Monitoring," IEEE Std C37.244-2013, pp.1-65, May 2013.
- [19] D. Ghosh, T. Ghose, and D.K. Mohanta, "Communication Feasibility Analysis for Smart Grid with Phasor Measurement Units," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Informatics*, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 1486–1496, 2013.
- [20] U.D. Annakage, A. Rajapakse, B. Bhargava, N.R. Chaudhuri, et al., "Application of Phasor Measurement Units for Monitoring Power System Dynamic Performance, CIGRÉ Paris, 2017.
- [21] T. Zhou, Z. Chen, S. Bu, H. Tang, Y. Liu, "Eigen-Analysis Considering Time-Delay and Data-Loss of WAMS and ITS Application to WADC Design Based on Damping Torque Analysis," *Energies*, vol. 11, no. 11, pp. 1- 15, Nov. 2018.
- [22] M. Khodadadi, H. Haes Alhelou, and P. Siano, "A Novel Multi-Objective OPP for Power System Small Signal Stability Assessment Considering WAMS Uncertainties," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Informatics*, pp. 1–1, May 2019.
- [23] W. Yao, L. Jiang, J. Wen, Q. H. Wu, and S. Cheng, "Wide-Area Damping Controller

of FACTS Devices for Inter-Area Oscillations Considering Communication Time Delays," *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 29, no. 1, pp. 318–329, Jan. 2014.

- [24] K. Zhu, M. Chenine, and L. Nordstrom, "ICT Architecture Impact on Wide-Area Monitoring and Control Systems' Reliability," *IEEE Trans. Power Deliv.*, vol. 26, no. 4, pp. 2801–2808, Oct. 2011.
- [25] D. Shi, D.J. Tylavsky, and N. Logic, "An Adaptive Method for Detection and Correction of Errors in PMU Measurements," *IEEE Trans. Smart Grid*, vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 1575–1583, 2012.
- [26] X. Wang et al., "Online Calibration of Phasor Measurement Unit Using Density-Based Spatial Clustering," *IEEE Trans. Power Deliv.*, vol. 33, no. 3, pp. 1081–1090, Jun. 2018.
- [27] I.J. Nagrath, D.P. Kothari, and R.C. Desai, "Modern Power System Analysis," IEEE Trans. Syst. Man. Cybern., vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 96–96, 2008.
- [28] P. Kundur, Power System Stability and Control, 1st ed. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1994.
- [29] Z. Assi Obaid, L.M. Cipcigan, and M.T. Muhssin, "Power System Oscillations and Control: Classifications and PSSs' Design Methods: A Review," *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, vol. 79, pp. 839–849, 2017.
- [30] IEEE SSR WG, "A Bibliography for the Study of Subsynchronous Resonance between Rotating Machines and Power Systems," *IEEE Trans. Power Appar. Syst.*, vol. 95, no. 1, pp. 216–218, Jan. 1976.
- [31] IEEE SSR WG, "First Supplement to a Bibliography for the Study of Subsynchronous Resonance Between Rotating Machines and Power Systems," *IEEE Trans. Power Appar. Syst.*, vol. PAS-98, no. 6, pp. 1872–1875, Nov. 1979.
- [32] IEEE SSR WG, "Second Supplement to a Bibliography For the Study Of Subsynchronous Resonance Between Rotating Machines and Power Systems," *IEEE Trans. Power Appar. Syst.*, vol. PAS-104, no. 2, pp. 321–327, Feb. 1985.
- [33] IEEE SSR WG, "Third Supplement to a Bibliography for the Study of Subsynchronous Resonance between Rotating Machines and Power Systems," *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 830–834, May 1991.
- [34] M.R. Iravani et al., "Fourth Supplement to a Bibliography for the Study of Subsynchronous Resonance between Rotating Machines and Power Systems," *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 1276–1281, Aug. 1997.
- [35] IEEE SSR WG, "Terms, Definitions and Symbols for Subsynchronous Oscillations," IEEE Trans. Power Appar. Syst., vol. PAS-104, no. 6, pp. 1326–1334, 1985.
- [36] IEEE SSR WG, "Reader's Guide to Subsynchronous Resonance," IEEE Trans. Power Syst., vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 150–157, 1992.
- [37] C. Rathke and M. Greeve, "Operating Experience of HVDC Links Behaviour After Switching Events in the Onshore Grid," *Proceed. in CIGRE B4 Colloquium*, 2018.
- [38] S. X. Hong Rao, "Operational Experience of Luxi BtB and Nao'ao MTDC Projects in China, China Southern Power Grid (CSG)," *Proceed. in CIGRE B4 Colloquium*, 2018.
- [39] J. Morato, T. Knuppel, and J. Ostergaard, "Residue-Based Evaluation of the Use of Wind Power Plants with Full Converter Wind Turbines for Power Oscillation Damping Control," *IEEE Trans. Sustain. Energy*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 82–89, Jan. 2014.
- [40] T. Knüppel, J.N. Nielsen, K.H. Jensen, A. Dixon, and J. Østergaard, "Power Oscillation Damping Capabilities of Wind Power Plant with Full Converter Wind Turbines Considering Its Distributed and Modular Characteristics," *IET Renew. Power Gener.*, vol. 7, no. 5, pp. 431–442, 2013.
- [41] S. Li, T.A. Haskew, R.P. Swatloski, and W. Gathings, "Optimal and Direct-Current Vector Control of Direct-Driven PMSG Wind Turbines," *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.*, vol. 27, no. 5, pp. 2335–2337, 2012.
- [42] M.E. Haque, M. Negnevitsky, and K.M. Muttaqi, "A Novel Control Strategy for a Variable-Speed Wind Turbine With a Permanent-Magnet Synchronous Generator," in *IEEE Trans. Ind. Applicat.*, 2010, vol. 46, no. 1, pp. 331–339.
- [43] G. Tsourakis, B. M. Nomikos, and C.D. Vournas, "Contribution of Doubly Fed Wind Generators to Oscillation Damping," *IEEE Trans. Energy Convers.*, vol. 24, no. 3, pp. 783–791, 2009.
- [44] J. Quintero, V. Vittal, G.T. Heydt, and H. Zhang, "The Impact of Increased Penetration of Converter Control-Based Generators on Power System Modes of Oscillation," *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 29, no. 5, pp. 2248–2256, Sep. 2014.
- [45] A. Jamehbozorg, and G. Radman, "Small Signal Analysis of Power Systems with Wind and Energy Storage Units," *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 30, no. 1, pp. 298– 305, Jan. 2015.
- [46] S.Q. Bu, W. Du, and H.F. Wang, "Investigation on Probabilistic Small-Signal Stability of Power Systems as Affected by Offshore Wind Generation," *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 30, no. 5, pp. 2479–2486, Sep. 2015.
- [47] S.Q. Bu, X. Zhang, J.B. Zhu, and X. Liu, "Comparison Analysis on Damping Mechanisms of Power Systems with Induction Generator based Wind Power Generation," *Int. J. Electr. Power Energy Syst.*, vol. 97, pp. 250–261, Apr. 2018. [48] Y. Mishra, S. Mishra, F. Li, Z.Y. Dong, and R. C. Bansal, "Small-Signal Stability Analysis of a DFIG-Based Wind Power System under Different Modes of Operation," *IEEE Trans. Energy Convers.*, vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 972–982, Dec. 2009.
- [49] D.N. Kosterev, C.W. Taylor, and W.A. Mittelstadt, "Model Validation for the August 10, 1996 WSCC System Outage," *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 967– 979, 1999.
- [50] D.J. Trudnowski and J.W. Pierre, "Overview of Algorithms for Estimating Swing Modes from Measured Responses," 2009 IEEE Power & Energy Society General Meeting, pp. 1–8, 2009.
- [51] J.F. Hauer, C.J. Demeure, and L.L. Scharf, "Initial Results in Prony Analysis of Power System Response Signals," *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 80–89, 1990.
- [52] I. Kamwa, R. Grondin, E.J. Dickinson, and S. Fortin, "A Minimal Realization Approach to Reduced-Order Modelling and Modal Analysis for Power System Response Signals," *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 1020–1029, 1993.

- [53] J. J. Sanchez-Gasca and J. H. Chow, "Performance Comparison of three Identification Methods for the Analysis of Electromechanical Oscillations," *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 995–1002, 1999.
- [54] A.R. Messina and V. Vittal, "Nonlinear, Non-Stationary Analysis of Interarea Oscillations via Hilbert Spectral Analysis," *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 1234–1241, Aug. 2006.
- [55] G. Liu, J. Quintero, and V.M. Venkatasubramanian, "Oscillation Monitoring System Based on Wide Area Synchrophasors in Power Systems," 2007 iREP Symposium -Bulk Power System Dynamics and Control - VII. Revitalizing Operational Reliability, pp. 1–13, 2007.
- [56] A.R. Borden and B.C. Lesieutre, "Variable Projection Method for Power System Modal Identification," *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 29, no. 6, pp. 2613–2620, Nov. 2014.
- [57] J. Hauer and R. Cresap, "Measurement and Modeling of Pacific AC Intertie Response to Random Load Switching," *IEEE Trans. Power Appar. Syst.*, vol. PAS-100, no. 1, pp. 353–359, Jan. 1981.
- [58] R.W. Wies, J. W. Pierre, and D.J. Trudnowski, "Use of ARMA Block Processing for Estimating Stationary Low-Frequency Electromechanical Modes of Power Systems," *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 167–173, Feb. 2003.
- [59] G. Liu and V. Venkatasubramanian, "Oscillation Monitoring from Ambient PMU Measurements by Frequency Domain Decomposition," 2008 IEEE International Symposium on Circuits and Systems, pp. 2821–2824, 2008.
- [60] L. Dosiek and J. W. Pierre, "Estimating Electromechanical Modes and Mode Shapes Using the Multichannel ARMAX Model," *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 1950–1959, May 2013.
- [61] R.W. Wies, J.W. Pierre, and D.J. Trudnowski, "Use of Least Mean Squares (LMS) Adaptive Filtering Technique for Estimating Low-Frequency Electromechanical Modes in Power Systems," 2004 IEEE PES GM, vol. 2, pp. 1863–1870, 2004.
- [62] N. Zhou, J.W. Pierre, D.J. Trudnowski, and R.T. Guttromson, "Robust RLS Methods for Online Estimation of Power System Electromechanical Modes," *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 1240–1249, Aug. 2007.
- [63] I. Kamwa, G. Trudel, and L. Gerin-Lajoie, "Low-Order Black-Box Models for Control System Design in Large Power Systems," *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 303–311, 1996.
- [64] D.H. Wilson, K. Hay, and G.J. Rogers, "Dynamic Model Verification Using a Continuous Modal Parameter Estimator," 2003 IEEE Bologna Power Tech Conference Proceedings, vol. 2, pp. 233–238, 2003.
- [65] K.K. Anaparthi, B. Chaudhuri, N.F. Thornhill, and B.C. Pal, "Coherency Identification in Power Systems through Principal Component Analysis," *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 1658–1660, Aug. 2005.
- [66] F.D. Freitas, N. Martins, and L.F.J. Fernandes, "Reliable Mode-Shapes for Major System Modes Extracted from Concentrated WAMS Measurements Processed by a SIMO Identification Algorithm," 2008 IEEE Power and Energy Society General Meeting - Conversion and Delivery of Electrical Energy in the 21st Century, 2008.
- [67] T. Jin, S. Liu, R.C.C. Flesch, and W. Su, "A Method for the Identification of Low Frequency Oscillation Modes in Power Systems Subjected to Noise," *Appl. Energy*, vol. 206, pp. 1379–1392, Nov. 2017.
- [68] N.R. Chaudhuri, and B. Chaudhuri, "Damping and Relative Mode-Shape Estimation in Near Real-Time Through Phasor Approach," *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 364–373, Feb. 2011.
- [69] D.J. Trudnowski, "Estimating Electromechanical Mode Shape From Synchrophasor Measurements," *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 23, no. 3, pp. 1188–1195, Aug. 2008.
- [70] L. Dosiek, J.W. Pierre, D.J. Trudnowski, and N. Zhou, "A Channel Matching Approach for Estimating Electromechanical Mode Shape and Coherence," 2009 IEEE Power & Energy Society General Meeting, pp. 1–8, 2009.
- [71] N. Zhou, Z. Huang, L. Dosiek, D. Trudnowski, and J. W. Pierre, "Electromechanical Mode Shape Estimation based on Transfer Function Identification using PMU Measurements," 2009 IEEE Power & Energy Society General Meeting, 2009.
- [72] T. Jiang *et al.*, "A Novel Dominant Mode Estimation Method for Analyzing Inter-Area Oscillation in China Southern Power Grid," *IEEE Trans. Smart Grid*, vol. 7, no. 5, pp. 2549–2560, Sep. 2016.
- [73] H. Wang and W. Du, Analysis and Damping Control of Power System Low-Frequency Oscillations. 1st ed. New York, NY, USA: Springer, 2016.
- [74] M.J. Gibbard, P. Pourbeik, and D. J. Vowles, Small-Signal Stability, Control and Dynamic Performance of Power Systems. University of Adelaide Press, 2015.
- [75] W. Du, H. Wang, and S. Bu, Small-Signal Stability Analysis of Power Systems Integrated with Variable Speed Wind Generators, 1st ed. New York, NY, USA: Springer, 2018.
- [76] L. Chen, Y. Min, and W. Hu, "An Energy-Based Method for Location of Power System Oscillation Source," *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 828–836, May 2013.
- [77] R. Xie and D. J. Trudnowski, "Tracking the Damping Contribution of a Power System Component Under Ambient Conditions," *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 33, no. 1, pp. 1116–1117, Jan. 2018.
- [78] L. Chen, Y. Min, Y.-P. Chen, and W. Hu, "Evaluation of Generator Damping Using Oscillation Energy Dissipation and the Connection With Modal Analysis," *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 29, no. 3, pp. 1393–1402, May 2014.
- [79] T. Rauhala, A. M. Gole, and P. Jarventausta, "Detection of Subsynchronous Torsional Oscillation Frequencies Using Phasor Measurement," *IEEE Trans. Power Deliv.*, vol. 31, no. 1, pp. 11–19, Feb. 2016.
- [80] Z. Tashman, H. Khalilinia, and V. Venkatasubramanian, "Multi-Dimensional Fourier Ringdown Analysis for Power Systems Using Synchrophasors," *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 29, no. 2, pp. 731–741, Mar. 2014.
- [81] L. Chen, W. Zhao, F. Wang, Q. Wang, and S. Huang, "An Interharmonic Phasor and Frequency Estimator for Subsynchronous Oscillation Identification and Monitoring,"

IEEE Trans. Instrum. Meas., vol. 68, no. 6, pp. 1714-1723, Jun. 2019.

- [82] H. Liu, T. Bi, J. Li, S. Xu, and Q. Yang, "Inter-Harmonics Monitoring Method based on PMUs," *IET Gener. Transm. Distrib.*, vol. 11, no. 18, pp. 4414–4421, Dec. 2017.
- [83] Y. Tan, R. Chen, M. Wang, M. Zhang, and M. Hou, "High-Accurate Estimation Method of Sub-Synchronous and Super-Synchronous Harmonic Parameters on PMU," J. Eng., vol. 2017, no. 13, pp. 1275–1279, Jan. 2017.
- [84] X. Xie, Y. Zhan, H. Liu, and C. Liu, "Improved Synchrophasor Measurement to Capture Sub/Super-Synchronous Dynamics in Power Systems with Renewable Generation," *IET Renew. Power Gener.*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 49–56, Jan. 2019.
- [85] H. Liu et al., "Synchronised Measurement Devices for Power Systems with High Penetration of Inverter-Based Renewable Power Generators," *IET Renewable Power* Generation, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 40–48, Jan. 2019.
- Generation, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 40–48, Jan. 2019.
 [86] P. Kundur, J. Paserba, V. Ajjarapu, G. Andersson, A. Bose, C. Canizares, N. Hatziargyriou, D. Hill et al., "Definition and Classification of Power System Stability IEEE/CIGRE Joint Task Force on Stability Terms and Definitions," *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 19, no. 3, pp. 1387–1401, Aug. 2004.
- [87] IEEE, 421.5-1992 IEEE Recommended Practice for Excitation System Models for Power System Stability Studies, IEEE Std 421.5-1992, pp. 1-56, Aug. 1992.
- [88] M. Khosravi-Charmi and T. Amraee, "Wide Area Damping of Electromechanical Low Frequency Oscillations using Phasor Measurement Data," *Int. J. Electr. Power Energy Syst.*, vol. 99, pp. 183–191, Jul. 2018.
- [89] A. Kumar, "Power System Stabilizers Design for Multimachine Power Systems Using Local Measurements," *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 31, no. 3, pp. 2163–2171, May 2016.
- [90] H. Ni, G. T. Heydt, and L. Mili, "Power System Stability Agents using Robust Wide Area Control," *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 17, no. 4, pp. 1123–1131, Nov. 2002.
- [91] Y. Zhang and A. Bose, "Design of Wide-Area Damping Controllers for Inter-area Oscillations," *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 23, no. 3, pp. 1136–1143, Aug. 2008.
- [92] J. Ma et al., "Application of Dual Youla Parameterization Based Adaptive Wide-Area Damping Control for Power System Oscillations," *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 29, no. 4, pp. 1602–1610, Jul. 2014.
- [93] T. Wang, A. Pal, J.S. Thorp, and Y. Yang, "Use of Polytopic Convexity in Developing an Adaptive Interarea Oscillation Damping Scheme," *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 32, no. 4, pp. 2509–2520, Jul. 2017.
- [94] X. Zhang, C. Lu, S. Liu, and X. Wang, "A Review on Wide-Area Damping Control To Restrain Inter-Area Low Frequency Oscillation for Large-Scale Power Systems with Increasing Renewable Generation," *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.*, vol. 57, pp. 45–58, May 2016.
- [95] H. Wu, K.S. Tsakalis, and G.T. Heydt, "Evaluation of Time Delay Effects to Wide-Area Power System Stabilizer Design," *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 19, no. 4, pp. 1935–1941, Nov. 2004.
- [96] W. Yao, L. Jiang, J. Wen, Q. Wu, and S. Cheng, "Wide-Area Damping Controller for Power System Interarea Oscillations: A Networked Predictive Control Approach," *IEEE Trans. Control Syst. Technol.*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 27–36, Jan. 2015.
- [97] N.R. Chaudhuri, S. Ray, R. Majumder, and B. Chaudhuri, "A New Approach to Continuous Latency Compensation With Adaptive Phasor Power Oscillation Damping Controller (POD)," *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 939–946, May 2010.
- [98] S.S. Yu, T.K. Chau, T. Fernando, and H.H.-C. Iu, "An Enhanced Adaptive Phasor Power Oscillation Damping Approach With Latency Compensation for Modern Power Systems," *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 33, no. 4, pp. 4285–4296, Jul. 2018.
- [99] R. Majumder, B. Chaudhuri, B.C. Pal, and Qing-Chang Zhong, "A Unified Smith Predictor Approach for Power System Damping Control Design Using Remote Signals," *IEEE Trans. Control Syst. Technol.*, vol. 13, no. 6, pp. 1063–1068, Nov. 2005.
- [100]S. Ray and G.K. Venayagamoorthy, "Real-Time Implementation of a Measurement-Based Adaptive Wide-Area Control System Considering Communication Delays," *IET Gener. Transm. Distrib.*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 62-70, 2008.
- [101]P. Zhang, D.Y. Yang, K.W. Chan, and G.W. Cai, "Adaptive Wide-Area Damping Control Scheme with Stochastic Subspace Identification and Signal Time Delay Compensation," *IET Gener. Transm. Distrib.*, vol. 6, no. 9, pp. 844-852, 2012.
- [102]A. Chakrabortty, "Wide-Area Damping Control of Power Systems Using Dynamic Clustering and TCSC-Based Redesigns," *IEEE Trans. Smart Grid*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 1503–1514, Sep. 2012.
- [103]G.R. Ismael Abdulrahman, "Wide-Area-Based Adaptive Neuro-Fuzzy SVC Controller for Damping Interarea Oscillations," *Can. J. Electr. Comput. Eng.*, vol. 41, no. 3, pp. 133–144, 2018.
- [104]K. Uhlen et al., "Monitoring Amplitude, Frequency and Damping of Power System Oscillations with PMU Measurements," 2008 IEEE Power and Energy Society General Meeting - Conversion and Delivery of Electrical Energy in the 21st Century, pp. 1–7, 2008.
- [105]K. Uhlen, L. Vanfretti, M.M. de Oliveira, V.H. Aarstrand, and J.O. Gjerde, "Wide-Area Power Oscillation Damper Implementation and Testing in the Norwegian Transmission Network," 2012 IEEE Power and Energy Society General Meeting, pp. 1–7, 2012.
- [106]P. Korba and K. Uhlen, "Wide-Area Monitoring of Electromechanical Oscillations in the Nordic Power System: Practical Experience," *IET Gener. Transm. Distrib.*, vol. 4, no. 10, pp. 1116 - 1126, 2010.
- [107] Y. Jin, J. Wang, R. Ge, and Y. Wang, "The Design and Applications of Wide-Area Oscillation Monitoring and Warning System in SGCC," *The 21st Conf. Electric Power Supply Industry (CEPSY2016)*, 2016.
- [108]M. Larsson, P. Korba, W. Sattinger, and P. Owen, "Monitoring and Control of Power System Oscillations using FACTS/HVDC and Wide-Area Phasor Measurements," *Proceed. CIGRE B5-119 Colloquium*, 2012.
- [109]B. Bhargava and A. Salazar, "Use of Synchronized Phasor Measurement System for

Monitoring Power System Stability and System Dynamics in Real-Time," 2008 IEEE PES GM, pp. 1–8, 2008.

- [110]V. Venkatasubramanian, X. Liu, G. Liu, Q. Zhang, and M. Sherwood, "Overview of Wide-Area Stability Monitoring Algorithms in Power Systems using Synchrophasors," *Proceed. of the 2011 American Control Conference*, 2011.
- [111]H. Akhavan-Hejazi and H. Mohsenian-Rad, "Power Systems Big Data Analytics: An Assessment of Paradigm Shift Barriers and Prospects," *Energy Reports*, vol. 4, pp. 91–100, Nov. 2018.
- [112]R. J. Hyndman, X. A. Liu, and P. Pinson, "Visualizing Big Energy Data: Solutions for This Crucial Component of Data Analysis," *IEEE Power Energy Mag.*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 18–25, May 2018.
- [113]A. De Mauro, M. Greco, and M. Grimaldi, "A Formal Definition of Big Data based on Its Essential Features," *Libr. Rev.*, vol. 65, no. 3, pp. 122–135, Apr. 2016.
- [114]J.E. Tate, "Event Detection And Visualization based on Phasor Measurement Units for Improved Situational Awareness," Ph.D Dissertation, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, 2008.
- [115]K. Mei, S.M. Rovnyak, and C.M. Ong, "Clustering-Based Dynamic Event Location Using Wide-Area Phasor Measurements," *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 673–679, May 2008.
- [116]P.M. Ashton, G. A. Taylor, M.R. Irving, I. Pisica, A.M. Carter, and M. E. Bradley, "Novel Application of Detrended Fluctuation Analysis for State Estimation Using Synchrophasor Measurements," *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 1930– 1938, May 2013.
- [117]J. Cohen, B. Dolan, M. Dunlap, J.M. Hellerstein, and C. Welton, "MAD Skills: New Analysis Practices for Big Data," *Proc. VLDB Endow.*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 1481–1492, Aug. 2009.
- [118]M.P. Andersen, S. Kumar, C. Brooks, A. von Meier, and D. E. Culler, "DISTIL: Design and Implementation of a Scalable Synchrophasor Data Processing System," 2015 IEEE International Conference on Smart Grid Communications (SmartGridComm), pp. 271–277, 2015.
- [119]I. Kamwa, S. R. Samantaray, and G. Joos, "Development of Rule-Based Classifiers for Rapid Stability Assessment of Wide-Area Post-Disturbance Records," *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 258–270, Feb. 2009.
- [120]I. Kamwa, S. R. Samantaray, and G. Joos, "On the Accuracy Versus Transparency Trade-Off of Data-Mining Models for Fast-Response PMU-Based Catastrophe Predictors," *IEEE Trans. Smart Grid*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 152–161, Mar. 2012.
 [121]L. Chu, R. Qiu, X. He, Z. Ling, and Y. Liu, "Massive Streaming PMU Data
- [121]L. Chu, R. Qiu, X. He, Z. Ling, and Y. Liu, "Massive Streaming PMU Data Modelling and Analytics in Smart Grid State Evaluation based on Multiple High-Dimensional Covariance Test," *IEEE Trans. Big Data*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 55–64, Mar. 2018.
- [122]E.E. Bernabeu, J.S. Thorp, and V. Centeno, "Methodology for a Security/Dependability Adaptive Protection Scheme Based on Data Mining," *IEEE Trans. Power Deliv.*, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 104–111, Jan. 2012.
- [123]G. Ghanavati, P.D.H. Hines, and T.I. Lakoba, "Identifying Useful Statistical Indicators of Proximity to Instability in Stochastic Power Systems," *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 31, no. 2, pp. 1360–1368, Mar. 2016.
- [124]X. He, R.C. Qiu, Q. Ai, L. Chu, X. Xu, and Z. Ling, "Designing for Situation Awareness of Future Power Grids: An Indicator System Based on Linear Eigenvalue Statistics of Large Random Matrices," *IEEE Access*, vol. 4, pp. 3557–3568, 2016.
- [125]J. Dean, and S. Ghemawat, "MapReduce," Commun. ACM, vol. 51, no. 1, pp. 107-113, Jan. 2008.
- [126]The Apache Software Foundation, "Apache Hadoop." [Online]. Available: http://hadoop.apache.org/. [Accessed: 19-Apr-2019].
- [127]M. Zaharia, An Architecture for Fast and General Data Processing on Large Clusters, Association for Computing Machinery and Morgan & Claypool, New York, NY, 2016.
- [128]M. Khan, M. Li, P. Ashton, G. Taylor, and J. Liu, "Big Data Analytics on PMU Measurements," 2014 11th International Conference on Fuzzy Systems and Knowledge Discovery (FSKD), pp. 715–719, 2014.



Lasantha Gunaruwan Meegahapola (S'06-GS'07-M'11-SM'17) received his B.Sc. Eng. (Hons) degree in electrical engineering (First Class) from the University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka in 2006, and his Ph.D. degree from the Queen's University of Belfast, UK in 2010. His doctoral study was based on the investigation of power system stability issues with high wind penetration, and research was conducted in collaboration with EirGrid

(Republic of Ireland-TSO). Dr. Meegahapola has over 12 years research experience in power system dynamics and stability with renewable power generation and has published more than 100 journal and conference articles. He has also conducted research studies on microgrid dynamics & stability and coordinated reactive power dispatch during steady-state and dynamic/transient conditions for networks with high wind penetration. He is currently employed as a Senior Lecturer at the Royal Melbourne Institute of Technology (RMIT) University. He is a Senior Member of IEEE and a Member of the IEEE Power Engineering Society (PES). Dr. Meegahapola is also serving as an Associate Editor of the IEEE ACCESS and IET Renewable Power Generation journals.



Siqi Bu (S'11-M'12-SM'17) received the Ph.D. degree from the electric power and energy research cluster, The Queen's University of Belfast, Belfast, U.K., in 2012, where he continued his postdoctoral research work before entering industry. Then he was with National Grid UK as an experienced UK National Transmission System Planner and Operator. He is an Assistant Professor with The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Kowloon, Hong Kong, and also a

Chartered Engineer with UK Royal Engineering Council, London, U.K. His research interests are power system stability analysis and operation control, including wind power generation, PEV, HVDC, FACTS, ESS and VSG.

He is an Associate Editor of IEEE ACCESS and CSEE JOURNAL OF POWER AND ENERGY SYSTEMS, a Guest Editor of IET RENEWABLE POWER GENERATION and ENERGIES, and an Editor of PROTECTION AND CONTROL OF MODERN POWER SYSTEMS. He has received various prizes due to excellent performances and outstanding contributions in operational and commissioning projects during the employment with National Grid UK. He is also the recipient of Outstanding Reviewer Awards from IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON SUSTAINABLE ENERGY, IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON POWER SYSTEMS, APPLIED ENERGY, RENEWABLE ENERGY and INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ELECTRICAL POWER & ENERGY SYSTEMS respectively.



D. Prasad Wadduwage (S'06-M'16) is a senior lecturer in the Department of Electrical Engineering, University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. He obtained B.Sc. Eng. Degree and MPhil Degree in Electrical Engineering in 2005 and 2007 respectively from University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. Dr. Prasad completed PhD Degree in 2016 from University of Manitoba, Canada. His research interests are power system stability and control, power system modeling and applications of Phasor

Measurement Units in power systems.



C. Y. Chung (M'01-SM'07-F'16) received the B.Eng. (with First Class Honors) and Ph.D. degrees in electrical engineering from The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong, China, in 1995 and 1999, respectively. Dr. Chung is currently a Professor, the NSERC/SaskPower (Senior) Industrial Research Chair in Smart Grid Technologies, and the SaskPower Chair in Power Systems Engineering with the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering at the University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon, SK, Canada.

Dr. Chung is an Editor of IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON POWER SYSTEMS, IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON SUSTAINABLE ENERGY, and IEEE POWER ENGINEERING LETTERS. He is also an IEEE PES Distinguished Lecturer.



Xinghuo Yu (M'92-SM'98-F'08) received BEng and MEng degrees in Electrical and Electronic Engineering from the University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, China, in 1982 and 1984, and PhD degree in Control Science and Engineering from Southeast University, Nanjing, China in 1988, respectively. He is an Associate Deputy Vice-Chancellor and a Distinguished Professor at Royal Melbourne Institute of Technology (RMIT University), Melbourne, Australia.

His research interests include control systems, complex and intelligent systems, and smart grids. He has served as an Associate Editor of IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control, IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems I: Regular Papers, IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics and IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics. He received a number of awards and honors for his contributions, including 2013 Dr.-Ing. Eugene Mittelmann Achievement Award of IEEE Industrial Electronics Society, 2018 M A Sargent Medal from Engineers Australia and 2018 Austalasian AI Distinguished Research Contribution Award from Australian Computer Society. He is the Junior Past President of IEEE Industrial Electronics Society for 2020 and 2021.